

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,236	03/03/2004	Michael Thomas Kurdziel	RF-234 (50588)	4669
74701 ALLEN, DYEI	7590 12/04/200 R, DOPPELT, MILBR	EXAMINER		
255 S ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 1401 ORLANDO, FL 32801			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2439	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2008	EL ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

creganoa@addmg.com

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/792,236	KURDZIEL, MIC THOMAS	CHAEL
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christian LaForgia	2439	

The amendment document filed on <u>16 September 2008</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

item(s) is required.					
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENI  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markin  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other					
A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1     B. Other	1.72.				
3. Amendments to the drawings:					
of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status in	of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) roper status identifier, and as such, the individual status status of every claim must be indicated after its claim dentifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).				
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed See Continuation Sheet	ed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):				
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.					
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:					
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>					
2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, CFCF 1.114), upplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.					
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.					
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.					
/Christian LaForgia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439					

<sup>--</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No. 10/792,236

Continuation of 5 Other: 37 CFR 1.111(b) requires, inter alia, that "[t]he reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action." See MPEP 714.02. The Applicant has failed to respond to the Double Patenting Rejections set forth on pages 11-13 of the Office Action of 20 June 2008. Since the Applicant has failed to reply to every ground of rejection set forth in the previous Office Action, the response is incomplete and therefore non-responsive.